arXiv:1410.3158v1 [math.AP] 12 Oct 2014

On the Solutions of the BBM-KP and BBM model Equations

Jacob Grey and Michael M. Tom

Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University,
Baton Rouge, LA 70803

Abstract. It is shown that the solution of the Cauchy problem for the BBM-KP equation converges to the solution of the Cauchy problem for the BBM equation in a suitable function space whenever the initial data for both equations are close as the transverse variable $y \to \pm\infty$.

1. Introduction

Considered in this paper are the pure-initial-value problem for the Benjamin-Bona-Mahony (BBM) equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_x + uu_x - u_{xxt} = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, \\ u(x,0) = \phi(x) \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

and the Benjamin-Bona-Mahony-Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (BBM-KP) equation

$$\begin{cases} (\eta_t + \eta_x + \eta\eta_x - \eta_{xxt})_x + \gamma\eta_{yy} = 0, \quad (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, t > 0, \\ \eta(x,y,0) = \psi(x,y) \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\gamma = \pm 1$.

The BBM (1.1), introduced in [1], has proven to be a good approximation for the unidirectional propagation of small amplitude long waves in a channel where variation across the channel can be safely ignored.

The BBM-KP (1.2) is the regularized version of the usual KP equation which arises in various contexts where nonlinear dispersive waves propagate principally along the x-axis, but with weak dispersive effects being felt in the direction parallel to the

AMS Subject Classifications: 35Q10.

y-axis, perpendicular to the main direction of propagation.

Our goal in this paper is the show that if the difference between the initial data $\psi(x,y)$ and $\phi(x)$ is small as $y \to +\infty$, in a certain function space, then the solution to the Cauchy problem (1.2) converges to the solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1) in a suitable function space, as $y \to +\infty$. In a similar fashion, the result holds true for $y \to -\infty$.

The Cauchy problems for both equations have been studied by various authors. Of recent, Bona and Tzvetkov [4] proved that the Cauchy problem (1.1) is globally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s \geq 0$. Bona et al. [2] has shown that the Cauchy problem (1.2) can be solved by Picard Iteration yielding to local and global well-posedness results. In particular, it is shown that the pure initial-value problem (1.2), regardless of the sign of γ, is globally well-posed in

$$W_1(\mathbb{R}^2) = \{\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) : ||\psi||_{L^2} + ||\psi_x||_{L^2} + ||\psi_{xx}||_{L^2} + ||\partial_x^{-1}\partial_y\psi||_{L^2} + ||\psi_y||_{L^2} < \infty\}.$$

Saut and Tzvetkov [6] improved this global well-posedness in the space

$$Y = \{\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) : \psi_x \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\}.$$

We will employ the following notation. Let $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ denote the classical Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ equipped with the norm

$$||\eta||_s = \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1+\mu^2+\xi^2)^s |\hat{\eta}(\xi,\mu)|^2 d\xi d\mu \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Analogously, $H_x^k(\mathbb{R})$ will denote the Sobolev space in just the spatial variable x with the norm

$$||f||_{H_x^k(\mathbb{R})} = \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+\xi^2)^k |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Define the space

$$H^s_{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \{\eta \in S'(\mathbb{R}^2) : ||\eta||_{H^s_{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \infty\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$||\eta||_{H^s_{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1+|\xi|^{-1})^2 (1+\xi^2+\mu^2)^s |\hat{\eta}(\xi,\mu)|^2 d\xi d\mu \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We now summarize the existence theory for both initial-value problems. For the proofs refer to [4] and [2] respectively.

Theorem 1.1. *Fix $s \geq 0$. For any $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a $T = T(||\phi||_{H^s}) > 0$ and a unique solution $u \in C([0,T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ of the IVP (1.1).*

Moreover, for $R > 0$, let B_R connote a ball of radius R centered at the origin in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and let $T = T(R) > 0$ denote a uniform existence time for the IVP (1.1) with $\phi \in B_R$. Then the correspondence $\phi \mapsto u$ which associates to ϕ the solution u of the IVP (1.1) with initial data ϕ is a real analytic mapping of B_R to $C([T,-T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$.

The above theorem improves the earlier known result proven by Benjamin et. al. [1] where the IVP (1.1) was shown to be globally well-posed for data in H^k, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k \geq 1$. For the Cauchy problem (1.2), we have

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\psi \in H^s_{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $s > \frac{3}{2}$. Then there exist a T_0 such that the initial-value problem (1.2) has a unique solution $\eta \in C([0,T]; H^s_{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2))$, $\partial_x^{-1}\eta_y \in C([0,T]; H^{s-1}_{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2))$, with $\eta_t \in C([0,T]; H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}^2))$. Moreover, the map $\psi \to \eta$ is continuous from $H^s_{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $C([0,T_0]; H^s_{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2))$.*

2. Main Result

Assume that $\psi \in H^s_{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $s > \frac{3}{2}$, and let u^+ be the solution to the initial-value problem (1.1) corresponding to the initial data ϕ^+ where

$$\phi^+(\cdot) = \lim_{y \to +\infty} \psi(\cdot, y),$$

and u^- be the solution corresponding to the initial data ϕ^- where

$$\phi^-(\cdot) = \lim_{y \to -\infty} \psi(\cdot, y).$$

For example, we could let $\psi(x,y) = \phi(x) + \operatorname{sech} y$, where $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$.

Let η be the solution to the initial-value problem (1.2) corresponding to the initial data ψ.

Define the function

$$w(x,y,t) = \eta - \frac{1}{2}[u^+ + u^-] - \frac{1}{2}[u^+ - u^-]\tanh y. \tag{2.1}$$

Observe that

$$w(x,y,0) = \psi(x,y) - \frac{1}{2}[\phi^+(x) + \phi^-(x)] - \frac{1}{2}[\phi^+(x) - \phi^-(x)]\tanh y \tag{2.2}$$

and hence

$$\lim_{y \to \pm\infty} w(x,y,0) = 0.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that w satisfies the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} w_t + w_x - w_{xxt} - \partial_x^{-1}\eta_{yy} + ww_x + \frac{1}{2}(1+\tanh y)(u^+w)_x + \frac{1}{2}(1-\tanh y)(u^-w)_x \\ -\frac{1}{4}(1-\tanh^2 y)(u^+u_x^+ + u^-u_x^- - u^+u_x^- - u^-u_x^+) = 0, \\ \\ w(x,y,0) = \psi(x,y) - \frac{1}{2}[\phi^+ + \phi^-] - \frac{1}{2}[\phi^+ - \phi^-]\tanh y. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Remark 2.1. It should be noted that, $\partial_x^{-1} f$ is defined via the Fourier transform as

$$\widehat{\partial_x^{-1} f} = \frac{1}{i\xi}\hat{f}(\xi, y).$$

Due to the singularity of the symbol $\frac{1}{\xi}$ at $\xi = 0$, one requires that $\hat{f}(0, y) = 0$ (the Fourier transform in the variable x), which is clearly equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, y)\, dx = 0.$$

In what follows, $\partial_x^{-1} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ means there is an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ function g such that $g_x = f$, at least in the distributional sense. We now state our main theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\phi^\pm \in H^k(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\phi^\pm(\cdot) = \lim_{y\to\pm\infty}\psi(\cdot, y)$ where $\psi \in H^s_{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $k \geq 1$ and $s \geq k+1$. Also, let $u^\pm$ and η both be solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) respectively which are emanating from Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 respectively. If*

$$\lim_{y\to\pm\infty} ||\psi(\cdot, y) - \phi^\pm(\cdot)||_{H^k_x(\mathbb{R})} = 0,$$

then

$$\lim_{y\to\pm\infty} ||\eta - u^\pm||_{H^k_x(\mathbb{R})} = 0.$$

Proof. We first estimate $||w||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})}$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Multiply equation (2.3) by w and integrate over $\mathbb{R}$ in the spatial variable x to obtain the following integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} [ww_t + ww_x - ww_{xxt} - w\partial_x^{-1}\eta_{yy} + w^2 w_x + w\frac{1}{2}(1+\tanh y)(u^+w)_x + w\frac{1}{2}(1-\tanh y)(u^-w)_x$$

$$-w\frac{1}{4}(1-\tanh^2 y)(u^+u_x^+ + u^-u_x^- - u^+u_x^- - u^-u_x^+)]\, dx = 0.$$

After a few integration by parts, we arrive at the following estimate

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}} w^2dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x^2dx\Big]\leq \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} w\partial_x^{-1}\eta_{yy}dx\Big|+\frac{1}{2}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}}(1+\tanh y)u^+w_xwdx\Big|$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}}(1-\tanh y)u^-w_xwdx\Big|+\frac{1}{4}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}}(1-\tanh^2 y)wu^+u_x^+\,dx\Big|+\frac{1}{4}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}}(1-\tanh^2 y)wu^-u_x^-dx\Big|$$

$$+\frac{1}{4}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}}(1-\tanh^2 y)wu^+u_x^-dx\Big|+\frac{1}{4}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}}(1-\tanh^2 y)wu^-u_x^+dx\Big|.$$

Making use of Hölders inequality, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||w||^2_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})}\leq ||w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||\partial_x^{-1}\eta_{yy}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\frac{(1+\tanh y)}{2}|u^+|_\infty||w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||w_x||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh y)}{2}|u^-|_\infty||w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||w_x||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}\,|u^+|_\infty||w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||u_x^+||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}\,|u^-|_\infty||w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||u_x^-||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}\,|u^+|_\infty||w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||u_x^-||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}\,|u^-|_\infty||w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||u_x^+||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

An application of Young's inequality yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||w||^2_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})}\leq ||w||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})}||\partial_x^{-1}\eta_{yy}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\frac{(1+\tanh y)}{2}|u^+|_\infty||w||^2_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh y)}{2}|u^-|_\infty||w||^2_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})}+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}\,|u^+|_\infty||w||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})}||u_x^+||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}\,|u^-|_\infty||w||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})}||u_x^-||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}\,|u^+|_\infty||w||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})}||u_x^-||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}\,|u^-|_\infty||w||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})}||u_x^+||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

After utilizing an elementary embedding theorem, the last inequality can be written in the form

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||w||^2_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})} \leq \Big[||\partial_x^{-1}\eta_{yy}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + (1-\tanh^2 y)a\Big(||u^+||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}, ||u^-||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}\Big)\Big]||w||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})}$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\Big[(1+\tanh y)||u^+||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + (1-\tanh y)||u^-||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}\Big]||w||^2_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})},$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||w||^2_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})} \leq (C_\eta + C_1)||w||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})} + (C_+ + C_-)||w||^2_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})}.$$

From this the following inequality is derived

$$\frac{d}{dt}||w||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})} \leq (C_\eta + C_1) + (C_+ + C_-)||w||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})}.$$

By a variant of Gronwall's lemma, it follows that

$$||w||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})} \leq ||w(x,y,0)||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})}e^{(C_+ + C_-)t} + \frac{C_\eta + C_1}{C_+ + C_-}\Big(e^{(C_+ + C_-)t} - 1\Big). \tag{2.4}$$

By letting $y \to +\infty$, we observe that $C_\eta = ||\partial_x^{-1}\eta_{yy}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \to 0$ since, for $s \geq 2$, $\partial_x^{-1}\eta_{yy} \to 0$ as $y \to \pm\infty$. In addition, it follows that

$$C_1 = (1-\tanh^2 y)a\Big(||u^+||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}, ||u^-||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}\Big) \to 0,$$

since $(1-\tanh^2 y) \to 0$ as $y \to \pm\infty$ and $a\Big(||u^+||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}, ||u^-||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}\Big) < \infty$ by the global well-posedness of the BBM. Clearly $C_- = \frac{1}{2}(1-\tanh y)||u^-||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \to 0$ as $y \to +\infty$, but C_+ does not.

Let $y \to +\infty$ on both sides of inequality (2.4), to conclude

$$\lim_{y\to+\infty} ||w||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})} \leq 0 \cdot e^{C_+ t} + 0 \cdot \Big(e^{C_+ t} - 1\Big) = 0.$$

We have established that

$$\lim_{y\to+\infty} ||w||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})} = \lim_{y\to+\infty} ||\eta(x,y,t) - u^+(x,t)||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})} = 0.$$

A similar reasoning follows in the case when $y \to -\infty$, since $C_\eta \to 0$ and $C_1 \to 0$. However, in this case $C_+ = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \tanh y)||u^+||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \to 0$, but C_- does not. Hence,

$$\lim_{y\to-\infty} ||\eta(x,y,t) - u^-(x,t)||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})} = \lim_{y\to-\infty} ||w||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})} \leq 0 \cdot e^{C_- t} + 0 \cdot \Big(e^{C_- t} - 1\Big) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{y\to\pm\infty} ||\eta(x,y,t) - u^\pm(x,t)||_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R})} = 0.$$

More generally, for $k \geq 1$, we apply the operator ∂_x^k to both sides of the differential equation (2.3), multiply the result by $\partial_x^k w$, and integrate the result over $\mathbb{R}$ in the spatial variable x. After a few integration by parts we arrive at the following integral equation

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x^k w)^2\,dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x^{k+1} w)^2\,dx\Big] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^k w \partial_x^{k-1}\eta_{yy}\,dx$$

$$+\frac{(-1)^k}{2}(1+\tanh y)\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\partial_x^{2k+1} w\Big)(u^+ w)\,dx + \frac{(-1)^k}{2}(1-\tanh y)\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\partial_x^{2k+1} w\Big)(u^- w)\,dx$$

$$+\frac{(-1)^k}{4}(1-\tanh^2 y)\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\partial_x^{2k} w\Big)(u^+ u_x^+)\,dx + \frac{(-1)^k}{4}(1-\tanh^2 y)\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\partial_x^{2k} w\Big)(u^- u_x^-)\,dx$$

$$+\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{4}(1-\tanh^2 y)\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(\partial_x^{2k}w\Big)(u^+u_x^-)\,dx+\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{4}(1-\tanh^2 y)\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(\partial_x^{2k}w\Big)(u^-u_x^+)\,dx.$$

Similar to the case for H^1, the above equation delivers the bound

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\Big[||\partial_x^k w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+||\partial_x^{k+1}w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\Big]\leq\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\partial_x^k w\partial_x^{k-1}\eta_{yy}|\,dx$$

$$+\frac{(1+\tanh y)}{2}|u^+|_\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big|\partial_x^k w\partial_x^{k+1}w\Big|\,dx+\frac{(1-\tanh y)}{2}|u^-|_\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big|\partial_x^k w\partial_x^{k+1}w\Big|\,dx$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}|u^+|_\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big|\partial_x^{k+1}w\partial_x^k u^+\Big|\,dx+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}|u^-|_\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big|\partial_x^{k+1}w\partial_x^k u^-\Big|\,dx$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}|u^+|_\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big|\partial_x^{k+1}w\partial_x^k u^-\Big|\,dx+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}|u^-|_\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big|\partial_x^{k+1}w\partial_x^k u^+\Big|\,dx.$$

An appeal to Hölders inequality results in

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\Big[||\partial_x^k w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+||\partial_x^{k+1}w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\Big]\leq||\partial_x^k w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||\partial_x^{k-1}\eta_{yy}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$+\frac{(1+\tanh y)}{2}|u^+|_\infty||\partial_x^k w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||\partial_x^{k+1}w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\frac{(1-\tanh y)}{2}|u^-|_\infty||\partial_x^k w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||\partial_x^{k+1}w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}\,|u^+|_\infty||\partial_x^{k+1}w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||\partial_x^k u^+||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}\,|u^-|_\infty||\partial_x^{k+1}w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||\partial_x^k u^-||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}\,|u^+|_\infty||\partial_x^{k+1}w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||\partial_x^k u^-||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}\,|u^-|_\infty||\partial_x^{k+1}w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||\partial_x^k u^+||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

After invoking Young's inequality, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\Big[||\partial_x^k w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+||\partial_x^{k+1} w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\Big] \leq \Big[||\partial_x^k w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+||\partial_x^{k+1} w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}||\partial_x^{k-1}\eta_{yy}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$+\frac{(1+\tanh y)}{2}|u^+|_\infty\Big[||\partial_x^k w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+||\partial_x^{k+1} w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\Big]$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh y)}{2}|u^-|_\infty\Big[||\partial_x^k w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+||\partial_x^{k+1} w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\Big]$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}\ |u^+|_\infty\Big[||\partial_x^k w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+||\partial_x^{k+1} w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}||\partial_x^k u^+||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}\ |u^-|_\infty\Big[||\partial_x^k w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+||\partial_x^{k+1} w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}||\partial_x^k u^-||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}\ |u^+|_\infty\Big[||\partial_x^k w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+||\partial_x^{k+1} w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}||\partial_x^k u^-||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$+\frac{(1-\tanh^2 y)}{4}\ |u^-|_\infty\Big[||\partial_x^k w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+||\partial_x^{k+1} w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}||\partial_x^k u^+||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

From which we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||w||^2_{H^k_x(\mathbb{R})} \leq \Big[||\partial_x^{k-1}\eta_{yy}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+(1-\tanh^2 y)a\Big(||\partial_x^k u^+||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})},||\partial_x^k u^-||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}\Big)\Big]||w||_{H^k_x(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\Big[(1+\tanh y)||u^+||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}+(1-\tanh y)||u^-||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}\Big]||w||^2_{H^k_x(\mathbb{R})}.$$

This leads us to the following inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt}||w||_{H^k_x(\mathbb{R})} \leq (C_\eta + C_1) + (C_+ + C_-)||w||_{H^k_x(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Proceeding with the variant of Gronwall's lemma, it follows that

$$||w||_{H^k_x(\mathbb{R})} \leq ||w(x,y,0)||_{H^k_x(\mathbb{R})} e^{(C_+ + C_-)t} + \frac{C_\eta + C_1}{C_+ + C_-}\Big(e^{(C_+ + C_-)t} - 1\Big). \tag{2.5}$$

Similarly as in the case of H^1, we let $y \to \pm\infty$ on both sides of inequality (2.5), to conclude

$$\lim_{y\to\pm\infty} ||w||_{H^k_x(\mathbb{R})} \leq 0 \cdot e^{C_\pm t} + 0 \cdot \Big(e^{C_\pm t} - 1\Big) = 0.$$

Where all of the constants vanish except for C_+ as $y \to +\infty$ also, as $y \to -\infty$, C_- does not vanish. Placing this together, we conclude that

$$\lim_{y\to\pm\infty} ||w||_{H^k_x(\mathbb{R})} = \lim_{y\to\pm\infty} ||\eta(x,y,t) - u^\pm(x,t)||_{H^k_x(\mathbb{R})} = 0.$$

□

References

[1] T.B. Benjamin, J.L. Bona, and J.J. Mahony, *Model equations for long waves in nonlinear dispersive systems*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 272 (1972), 47-78.

[2] J. L. Bona, Y. Liu, and M. M. Tom, *The Cauchy problem and stability of solitary wave solutions for RLW-KP type equations*, J. Differential Equations 185, no. 2, (2002), 437-482.

[3] J.L. Bona, W. G. Pritchard and L. R. Scott, *An evaluation of a model equation for water waves*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 302 (1981), 457-510.

[4] J. L. Bona, N. Tzvetkov, *Sharp well-posedness results for the BBM equation*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 23 4 (2009), 1241-1252.

[5] J. Hammack and H. Segur, *The Kortweg-de Vries equation and water waves, II. Comparison with experiments*, J. Fluid Mech 65 (1974), 289-313.

[6] J.-C. Saut, N. Tzvetkov, Global well-posedness for the KP-BBM equations, AMRX Appl. Math. Res. Express 1 (2004), 1-16.

[7] N. J. Zabusky and C. Galvin, *Shallow-water waves, the Kortweg-de Vries equation solitons*, J. Fluid Mech. 47 (1971), 811-824.

Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803.
E-mail address: jgrey@math.lsu.edu

Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803.
E-mail address: tom@math.lsu.edu